

# COMPUTATIONAL PDE LECTURE 14

LUCAS BOUCK

## 1. OUTLINE OF TODAY

- Start finite differences for the heat equation.

## 2. FINITE DIFFERENCE METHODS FOR THE HEAT EQUATION

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} u_t(t, x) - u_{xx}(t, x) = f(t, x), & t \in (0, 1), x \in (0, 1) \\ u(t, 0) = 0, u(t, 1) = 0 \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) \end{cases}$$

**2.1. Time stepping schemes.** We now list with various time stepping schemes for the heat equation, which you implemented in recitation:

- **Forward Euler** (approximates differential equation at  $t_{j-1}$ )

$$D_\tau \mathbf{U}^j + \mathbf{A}^h \mathbf{U}^{j-1} = \mathbf{f}^{j-1}$$

- **Backward Euler** (approximates differential equation at  $t_j$ )

$$D_\tau \mathbf{U}^j + \mathbf{A}^h \mathbf{U}^j = \mathbf{f}^j$$

- **Crank Nicolson** (approximates differential equation at  $t_{j-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}(t_j + t_{j-1})$ )

$$D_\tau \mathbf{U}^j + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}^h (\mathbf{U}^j + \mathbf{U}^{j-1}) = \mathbf{f}^{j-1/2}$$

**2.2. Stability: discrete energy estimates.** The other key ingredient is stability. We'll mimic the energy estimates from the continuous heat equation. We first start with Backward Euler:

**Proposition 2.1** (unconditional stability of Backward Euler). Let  $\mathbf{U}^j$  be the sequence of solutions to the Backward Euler scheme:

$$D_\tau \mathbf{U}^j + \mathbf{A}^h \mathbf{U}^j = \mathbf{f}^j,$$

---

*Date:* September 29, 2023.

then the vector  $\mathbf{U}^j$  satisfies the following discrete energy estimate:

$$(2) \quad \|\mathbf{U}^J\|_{2,h}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \left[ \tau \|\mathbf{U}^j\|_{\mathbf{A}^h}^2 + \|\mathbf{U}^j - \mathbf{U}^{j-1}\|_{2,h}^2 \right] \leq \|\mathbf{U}^0\|_{2,h}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \tau \|\mathbf{f}^j\|_{2,h}^2$$

where

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{2,h}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} h \mathbf{v}_i^2, \quad \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{A}^h}^2 = h \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{A}^h \mathbf{v}.$$

**Remark 2.1** (discrete norms). Note that we have the following Riemann sum approximation:

$$\|\mathbf{u}^j\|_{2,h}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} h u(t_j, x_i)^2 \approx \int_0^1 u(t_j, x)^2 dx.$$

I claim that the  $\mathbf{A}^h$  norm for a vector is a Riemann sum approximation of the square integral of a derivative

$$\|\mathbf{u}^j\|_{\mathbf{A}^h}^2 \approx \int_0^1 |u_x(t_j, x)|^2 dx.$$

To see this, we compute

$$\|\mathbf{u}^j\|_{\mathbf{A}^h}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} h u(t_j, x_i) \left( \frac{-u(t_j, x_{i-1}) + 2u(t_j, x_i) - u(t_j, x_{i+1}))}{h^2} \right)$$

We observe that this is a Riemann sum approximation for:

$$\|\mathbf{u}^j\|_{\mathbf{A}^h}^2 \approx - \int_0^1 u(t_j, x) u_{xx}(t_j, x) dx,$$

and we integrate by parts to get:

$$\|\mathbf{u}^j\|_{\mathbf{A}^h}^2 \approx - \int_0^1 u(t_j, x) u_{xx}(t_j, x) dx = \int_0^1 |u_x(t_j, x)|^2 dx$$

As a result, the estimate (2) can be viewed as a discrete analog to the energy estimates we have proved in earlier lectures:

$$\int_0^1 u(T, x)^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_0^1 u_x(t, x)^2 dx \leq \int_0^1 u(0, x)^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_0^1 f(t, x)^2 dx$$

The additional term:

$$\sum_{j=1}^J \left[ \|\mathbf{U}^j - \mathbf{U}^{j-1}\|_{2,h}^2 \right]$$

is known as **numerical dissipation**. This term shows that the numerical method dissipates more energy than expected from the PDE. This is ok because

$$\mathbf{U}^j - \mathbf{U}^{j-1} = \tau D_\tau \mathbf{U}^j = \mathcal{O}(\tau),$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^J \left[ \|\mathbf{U}^j - \mathbf{U}^{j-1}\|_{2,h}^2 \right] = \mathcal{O}(\tau),$$

so this extra dissipation is small.

*Proof of Discrete Energy Estimate.* Just to mimic the continuous case, we take a dot product of the discrete evolution equation with  $h\mathbf{U}^j$  to get

$$h\mathbf{U}^j \cdot D_\tau \mathbf{U}^j + \|\mathbf{U}^j\|_{\mathbf{A}^h}^2 = h\mathbf{U}^j \cdot \mathbf{f}^j$$

We write out the first term

$$h\mathbf{U}^j \cdot D_\tau \mathbf{U}^j = \frac{h}{\tau} \mathbf{U}^j \cdot (\mathbf{U}^j - \mathbf{U}^{j-1}),$$

and use the following fact for vectors:

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot (\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{a}\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2.$$

Hence, with  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{U}^j$ , and  $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{U}^{j-1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} h\mathbf{U}^j \cdot D_\tau \mathbf{U}^j &= \frac{h}{\tau} \left( \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{U}^j\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{U}^{j-1}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{U}^j - \mathbf{U}^{j-1}\|_2^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau} \left( \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{U}^j\|_{2,h}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{U}^{j-1}\|_{2,h}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{U}^j - \mathbf{U}^{j-1}\|_{2,h}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

The discrete equation now reads

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \left( \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{U}^j\|_{2,h}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{U}^{j-1}\|_{2,h}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{U}^j - \mathbf{U}^{j-1}\|_{2,h}^2 \right) + \|\mathbf{U}^j\|_{\mathbf{A}^h}^2 = h\mathbf{U}^j \cdot \mathbf{f}^j$$

We estimate the RHS using Young's inequality

$$h\mathbf{U}^j \cdot \mathbf{f}^j = h \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \mathbf{U}_i^j \mathbf{f}_i^j \leq \frac{h}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (\mathbf{U}_i^j)^2 + (\mathbf{f}_i^j)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \|\mathbf{U}^j\|_{2,h}^2 + \|\mathbf{f}^j\|_{2,h}^2 \right)$$

Just like in the proof of energy estimates for the heat equation, we need a Poincare inequality:

$$\|\mathbf{U}^j\|_{2,h}^2 \leq \|\mathbf{U}^j\|_{\mathbf{A}^h}^2,$$

which we will prove in the next Lemma. Once we have the above inequality, the discrete equation is now an inequality:

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \left( \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{U}^j\|_{2,h}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{U}^{j-1}\|_{2,h}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{U}^j - \mathbf{U}^{j-1}\|_{2,h}^2 \right) + \|\mathbf{U}^j\|_{\mathbf{A}^h}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{U}^j\|_{\mathbf{A}^h}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{f}^j\|_{2,h}^2$$

Subtracting  $\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{U}^j\|_{\mathbf{A}^h}^2$  from both sides and multiplying both sides by 2 leads to

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \left( \|\mathbf{U}^j\|_{2,h}^2 - \|\mathbf{U}^{j-1}\|_{2,h}^2 + \|\mathbf{U}^j - \mathbf{U}^{j-1}\|_{2,h}^2 \right) + \|\mathbf{U}^j\|_{\mathbf{A}^h}^2 \leq \|\mathbf{f}^j\|_{2,h}^2$$

Finally, multiplying by  $\tau$  and summing from  $j = 1, \dots, J$  yields

$$\sum_{j=1}^J \left( \|\mathbf{U}^j\|_{2,h}^2 - \|\mathbf{U}^{j-1}\|_{2,h}^2 \right) + \sum_{j=1}^J \tau \|\mathbf{U}^j\|_{\mathbf{A}^h}^2 + \|\mathbf{U}^j - \mathbf{U}^{j-1}\|_{2,h}^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^J \tau \|\mathbf{f}^j\|_{2,h}^2$$

Notice the sum on the LHS telescopes:

$$\sum_{j=1}^J \left( \|\mathbf{U}^j\|_{2,h}^2 - \|\mathbf{U}^{j-1}\|_{2,h}^2 \right) = \|\mathbf{U}^J\|_{2,h}^2 - \|\mathbf{U}^0\|_{2,h}^2,$$

which gives the result.  $\square$

The important Poincare inequality lemma we need is a property of the matrix  $\mathbf{A}^h$ .

**Lemma 2.1** (eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}^h$ ). The eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}^h \in \mathbb{R}^{(N-1) \times (N-1)}$  are

$$\lambda_k = \frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2 \left( \frac{k\pi h}{2} \right), \quad k = 1, \dots, N-1$$

with eigenvectors

$$\mathbf{v}_i^k = \sin(k\pi x_i).$$

*Proof.* Left as exercise to verify that

$$\frac{1}{h^2} \left( -\mathbf{v}_{i-1}^k + 2\mathbf{v}_i^k - \mathbf{v}_{i+1}^k \right) = \lambda_k \mathbf{v}_i^k$$

$\square$

A consequence of this property is that we have a discrete Poincare inequality:

**Lemma 2.2** (discrete Poincare inequality). For any vector  $\mathbf{v}$ , we have

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{2,h}^2 \leq \lambda_1 \|\mathbf{v}\|_{2,h}^2 \leq \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{A}^h}^2 \leq \lambda_{N-1} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{2,h}^2 \leq \frac{4}{h^2} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{2,h}^2.$$

In particular, we have

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{2,h}^2 \leq \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{A}^h}^2$$

*Proof.* The inequality  $\lambda_1 \geq 1$  is not entirely obvious, so we prove it. Note that

$$\lambda_1 = \left( \frac{2}{h} \sin \left( \frac{\pi h}{2} \right) \right)^2,$$

so it is sufficient to prove that  $\frac{2}{h} \sin \left( \frac{\pi h}{2} \right) \geq 1$ . This is true, but we only sketch the idea. As  $h \rightarrow 0$ , we have that  $h_2 = h/2 \rightarrow 0$  and by the limit definition of derivative:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{2}{h} \sin \left( \frac{\pi h}{2} \right) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin(\pi h_2)}{h_2} = \pi \cos(0) = \pi.$$

Hence, at least for sufficiently small  $h$ , we expect  $\lambda_1 \geq 1$ . □