# **COMPUTATIONAL PDE LECTURE 24**

#### LUCAS BOUCK

#### 1. Outline of this lecture

## • Discuss Lax-Fredrich's scheme and derive the Lax-Wendroff scheme

## 2. Setup

We are trying to solve the transport equation on the whole real line:

(1) 
$$\begin{cases} u_t(t,x) + cu_x(t,x) = f(t,x), & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R} \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x) \end{cases}$$

### 3. Other methods for transport

Recall that upwind is stable, but lower order. We now discuss some strategies to get higher order methods.

3.1. Centered Difference is unstable. A natural next scheme to achieve higher accuracy in space would be to replace the upwind term with a centered finite difference. The resulting iteration is

(2) 
$$D_{\tau}\mathbf{U}_{j}^{n} + c\left(\frac{\mathbf{U}_{j+1}^{n-1} - \mathbf{U}_{j-1}^{n-1}}{2h}\right) = \mathbf{f}_{j}^{n-1}.$$

However, this scheme is always unstable! We proved this using von Neumann Stability analysis

3.2. Another scheme: Lax-Frederich's Scheme. In order to save the centered difference, we now look at a way to "add diffusion" or "smooth out" the numerical solution. One way to smooth out is to either add a diffusion term directly or by taking an average. The Frederich's scheme works by taking an average :

(3) 
$$\frac{1}{\tau} \left[ \mathbf{U}_{j}^{n} - \left( \frac{\mathbf{U}_{j-1}^{n-1} + \mathbf{U}_{j+1}^{n-1}}{2} \right) \right] + c \left( \frac{\mathbf{U}_{j+1}^{n-1} - \mathbf{U}_{j-1}^{n-1}}{2h} \right) = \mathbf{f}_{j}^{n-1}.$$

Date: November 01, 2023.

#### LUCAS BOUCK

One can show that this scheme is stable and is a second order scheme (in h for fixed  $\tau$ ) for the following modified PDE:

$$u_t + cu_x - \frac{h^2}{2\tau}u_{xx} = 0.$$

However, note that we want to take  $\tau \leq \frac{h}{c}$ , so if  $\tau = \frac{h}{c}$  and  $h \to 0$ , we no longer have a second order scheme due to the  $\frac{h^2}{2\tau} \approx h$  numerical diffusion term. We now state the specific results for the Lax-Frederich's scheme in (3) but leave

We now state the specific results for the Lax-Frederich's scheme in (3) but leave the proofs as exercises.

**Proposition 3.1** (stability of Lax-Frederich's). Let  $\mathbf{U}^n$  solve the Frederich's iteration in (3). Assume the CFL condition  $\tau \leq \frac{h}{c}$ . Then,

$$\|\mathbf{U}^n\|_{\infty} \leq \|\mathbf{U}^{n-1}\|_{\infty} + \tau \|\mathbf{f}^{n-1}\|_{\infty}.$$

**Proposition 3.2** (consistency of Lax-Frederich's). Let  $\mathbf{u}_j^n = u(t_n, x_j)$  be the exact solution of the transport equation. Then,

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \left[ \mathbf{u}_j^n - \left( \frac{\mathbf{u}_{j-1}^{n-1} + \mathbf{u}_{j+1}^{n-1}}{2} \right) \right] + c \left( \frac{\mathbf{u}_{j+1}^n - \mathbf{u}_{j-1}^n}{2h} \right) = \mathbf{f}_j^{n-1} + \boldsymbol{\tau}_j^{n-1}.$$

where there is a C > 0 independent of  $h, \tau$  such that

$$|\boldsymbol{\tau}_{j}^{n-1}| \leq C\left(h^{2}|\boldsymbol{u}_{xxx}|_{max} + \tau|\boldsymbol{u}_{tt}|_{max} + \frac{h^{2}}{\tau}|\boldsymbol{u}_{xx}|_{max}\right)$$

**Proposition 3.3** (error estimate of Lax-Frederich's). Let  $\mathbf{U}^n$  solve the Frederich's iteration in (3). Assume the CFL condition  $\tau \leq \frac{h}{c}$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}_j^n = u(t_n, x_j)$  be the exact solution of the transport equation. Then, the error satisfies

$$\|\mathbf{u}^n - \mathbf{U}^n\|_{\infty} \le Ct_n \left(h^2 |u_{xxx}|_{max} + \tau |u_{tt}|_{max} + \frac{h^2}{\tau} |u_{xx}|_{max}\right).$$

3.3. Second order method: Lax-Wendroff. We now derive a second order scheme using the symbol of an operator. Suppose  $u(t, x) = e^{i\xi x}$ . Then if u solves the transport equation, we have

$$u(t+\tau, x) = e^{i\xi(x-c\tau)} = e^{-i\xi c\tau}u(t, x).$$

In some sense, the true symbol we'd like to approximate is  $S(\xi) = e^{-i\xi c\tau}$ . If we are able to approximate the true symbol at  $\xi = 0$  for  $\xi$  small, then we are likely to have a convergent method. One way to do this would be to write a Taylor expansion of the symbol

$$S(\xi) = 1 - c\tau i\xi - \frac{c^2\tau^2}{2}\xi^2 + \mathcal{O}(\xi^3).$$

This can be summarized in the following theorem that we will not prove.

**Theorem 3.1.** We define an explicit finite difference method by the following iteration:

$$\mathbf{U}_{j}^{n+1} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{k} \mathbf{U}_{j+k}^{n}.$$

Let  $S(\xi h)$  be the symbol of the above iteration. That is, if  $\mathbf{v}_j = e^{i\xi hj}$ , then

$$S(\xi h)\mathbf{v}_j = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k \mathbf{v}_{j+k}.$$

Further assume that for for  $\tau \leq \frac{h}{c}$ , we have  $|S(\xi h)| \leq 1$  for all  $\xi$  and there is a  $\xi^* > 0$  such that if  $|\xi h| < \xi^*$ , we have

$$|S(\xi h) - e^{-i\xi c\tau}| \le C |\xi h|^{r+1}.$$

Then the finite difference method satisfies the following error estimate for  $\tau \leq \frac{h}{c}$ :

$$\|\mathbf{u}^n - \mathbf{U}^n\|_{2,h} \le \mathcal{O}(t_n(\tau^r + h^r)).$$

3.3.1. Derivation of Lax-Wendroff. We won't prove this theorem, but will use it to derive a second order scheme. In order to achieve  $|S(\xi) - e^{-i\xi c\tau}| \leq C|\xi|^3$ , we need to match the Taylor expansion of the true symbol. We define our scheme with three coefficients

$$\mathbf{U}_{j}^{n+1} = a_{-1}\mathbf{U}_{j-1}^{n} + a_{0}\mathbf{U}_{j}^{n} + a_{1}\mathbf{U}_{j+1}^{n},$$

and now compute the symbol as

$$S(\xi h) = (a_{-1}e^{-i\xi h} + a_0 + a_1e^{i\xi h}),$$

with

$$S'(\xi h) = (-iha_{-1}e^{-i\xi h} + iha_1e^{i\xi h})$$

and

$$S''(\xi h) = (-h^2 a_{-1} e^{-i\xi h} - h^2 a_1 e^{i\xi h})$$

We now want

$$S(0) = 1$$
,  $S'(0) = -c\tau i$ ,  $S''(0) = -c^2\tau^2$ 

Setting S(0) = 1 leads to  $a_{-1} + a_0 + a_1 = 0$ . We compute

$$S'(0) = -iha_{-1} + a_1hi_1$$

Hence, we want  $-\frac{c\tau}{h} = a_1 - a_{-1}$ . Finally, we compute

$$S''(0) = -h^2 a_{-1} - h^2 a_{1}$$

and require  $a_{-1} + a_1 = \frac{c^2 \tau^2}{2h^2}$ . We are left with the linear system with  $\lambda = \tau/h$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{-1} \\ a_0 \\ a_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -c\lambda \\ c^2\lambda^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

whose solution is

$$a_{-1} = \frac{1}{2}(c^2\lambda^2 + c\lambda), \quad a_0 = 1 - c^2\lambda^2, \quad a_1 = \frac{1}{2}(c^2\lambda^2 - c\lambda).$$

We now have a second order scheme, which is the Lax-Wendroff scheme:

$$\mathbf{U}_{j}^{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}(c^{2}\lambda^{2} + c\lambda)\mathbf{U}_{j-1}^{n} + (1 - c^{2}\lambda^{2})\mathbf{U}_{j}^{n} + \frac{1}{2}(c^{2}\lambda^{2} - c\lambda)\mathbf{U}_{j+1}^{n}$$